

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/714,848		11/18/2003	Koji Maekawa	8014-1071	3156
466	7590	11/09/2004		EXAMINER	
YOUNG & THOMPSON NGUYEN, CHAU					CHAU N
745 SOUT	H 23RD S	TREET			
2ND FLOC	)R			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ARLINGT	ON, VA	22202		2831	
				DATE MAILED: 11/09/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	· <del></del> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		<del></del>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/714,848	MAEKAWA ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Chau N Nguyen	2831				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication or Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
THE - External after of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOnsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication a period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory poure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by serely received by the Office later than three months after the red patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a rent. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty ariod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  y (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	1.			
Status							
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 3	80 August 2004.					
	<u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allo		ers, prosecution as to the merits is	;			
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the applica	tion.	•				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with						
5)□	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·					
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are rejected.			۲.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		·				
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 30 August 2004 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj	ected to by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeyand	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing(	s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d	l).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum	•	119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ients have been received in Ap	plication No				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papelication from the International Bu		eceived in this National Stage				
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eceived.				
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview So	ummary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)	/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/714,848 Page 2

Art Unit: 2831

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

  Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 3. Claims 1-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Figures 1-3 of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (AAPA) in view of Taylor et al. (5,430,256).

Art Unit: 2831

Figures 1-3 of AAPA discloses the invention substantially as claimed including the electric cord being connected between a vibrator and an input terminal in which the same electric current is flowed through the wires. Figures 1-3 of AAPA does not disclose the wires being electrically insulated from one another or the surface of the conductor (12), which is wound on the surface of the core thread (11), being covered with an insulator. Taylor et al. discloses an electric cord (Figs 1-2) comprising a plurality of wires which are electrically insulated from one another. Taylor et al. discloses that in the case of an uninsulated multistranded conductor of the known art, the fact of its uninsulation causes it to behave as though it is a solid conductor of a given overall gauge, and the audio signal is subjected to skin effect resulting in a negative alteration of the audio signal. Furthermore, uninsulated stranded conductors are subject to the effects of the corrosive atmosphere. As the wires aged, the audio signal is deteriorated (col. 1, lines 53-63).

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to apply the teaching of Taylor et al. in the electric cord of Figures 1-3 of AAPA by covering the conductor (12) entirely or by covering the surface of the wire with an insulator to improve the signal transmission and to protect the conductors. It would also have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use enamel as the

insulator for the modified electric cord of Figures 1-3 of AAPA since enamel is well-known in the art for being used to cover electric conductor.

#### Cited Art

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gasque, Jr. and Roe disclose electric cords having insulated rectangular conductors wound around a core.

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 8 and 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Summary

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire

THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is

Art Unit: 2831

filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

#### Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chau N Nguyen whose telephone number is 571-272-1980. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 571-272-2800 ext 31. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/714,848

Art Unit: 2831

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Chau N Nguyen **Primary Examiner** Art Unit 2831

Chaungay

Page 6